

Self-dual teleparallel gravity and the positive energy theorem

G. Y. Chee

*Physics Department, Liaoning Normal University,
Dalian, 116029, China*

*Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics
and System Science, Chinese Academy of Science,
PO Box 2734, Beijing, 100080, China*

A self-dual and anti-self-dual decomposition of the teleparallel gravity is carried out and the self-dual Lagrangian of the teleparallel gravity which is equivalent to the Ashtekar Lagrangian in vacuum is obtained. Its Hamiltonian formulation and the constraint analysis are developed. Starting from Witten's equation Nester's gauge condition is derived directly and a new expression of the boundary term is obtained. Using this expression and Witten's identity the proof of the positive energy theorem by Nester et al is extended to a case including momentum.

PACS number(s): 04.50.+h, 04.20.Gz, 04.20.Fy

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, as a description of gravity equivalent to general relativity the teleparallel gravity has attracted renewed attention [1-5] owing to many salient features of it. First of all, the teleparallel gravity can be regarded as a translational gauge theory [1, 2, 4, 6], which make it possible to unify gravity with other kinds of interactions in the gauge theory framework in which the elementary interactions are described by a connection defined on some principal fiber bundle. In this direction interesting developments [7] have been achieved in the context of Ashtekar variables [8]. However, when dealing with supergravity and in the search for the construction of a unified model for the fundamental interactions, another usual route [9] is to consider Kaluza-Klein type models [10] of supergravity as candidates. In this approach the fields describing the fundamental interactions (including gravity) correspond to different pieces of the pseudo Riemannian metric characterizing a higher dimensional spacetime. This approach are considered as quite different from the former and the relation between them has not been very clear. It is noteworthy that some teleparallel equivalents of the Kaluza-Klein theory and non-Abelian Kaluza-Klein theory are developed [11], which gives us new perspectives for the study of unified theories.

Another advantage of the teleparallel gravity concerns energy-momentum, its representation, positivity and localization [1, 2, 5]. Because of its simplicity and transparency the teleparallel gravity seems to be much more appropriate than general relativity to deal with the problem of the gravitational energy-momentum. It is proved that [12, 1, 2, 5] in the teleparallel gravity there exists a gravitational energy-momentum tensor which is covariant under general coordinate transformations and global Lorentz transformations.

Attempts at identifying an energy-momentum density for gravity in the context of general relativity lead only to various energy-momentum complexes which are pseudotensors and then a new quasilocal approach which can be traced back to the early work of Penrose [13] has been proposed and become widely accepted [5, 14]. According to this approach a quasilocal energy-momentum can be obtained from the Hamiltonian. Every energy-momentum pseudotensor is associated with a legitimated Hamiltonian boundary term. In terms of teleparallel gravity a geometrically natural proof of the positivity of the gravitational energy is obtained [5] by choosing a maximal surface and a vanishing shift.

Nester and his coworkers have found a four-spinor formulation of the teleparallel gravity [5]. This formulation has several virtues, in particular it gives a four-covariant Hamiltonian, shows that total four-momentum is future timelike and can be evaluated on a spacelike surface extending to future null infinity thereby showing that the Bondi four-momentum also is future timelike. It is suggested to generalize this formulation to self-dual representations. The chiral Lagrangian formulation of general relativity employing two-component spinors has been introduced [15]. Usually the teleparallel gravity is viewed as a equivalent of general relativity. It is well known, as a self-dual formulation of general relativity Ashtekar's theory opens new avenues to quantum gravity and plays an important role in the development of modern gravitational theory. It is shown that a lot of gauge theories, gravity and supergravity theories have their self-dual partners [16-18], a question naturally arises whether there is a self-dual teleparallel gravitational theory which equivalent to Ashtekar's theory. If it exists, can it give us some new perspectives? In this paper a two-spinor formulation of the teleparallel gravity which is a self-dual representation of the teleparallel gravity and equivalent to the Ashtekar theory [8] will be developed.

In the proof of the positive energy theorem [19] Witten proposed a spatial Dirac equation. In the last two decades people have been trying to understand the meaning of this equation and its solutions. In terms of an orthonormal

frame Nester gave another proof of the positive energy theorem [5] using teleparallel geometry under a special gauge in which the shift vanishes. Some authors found the relation between the orthonormal frame (triad) and the Witten equation [20]. In this paper Nester's gauge condition will be derived from Witten's equation. Furthermore a proof of the positive energy theorem different from Nester's by a nonvanishing shift and without maximal surface will be suggested.

In section 2 the self-dual and anti-self-dual decomposition of the Lagrangian of the teleparallel gravity is carried out and the self-dual teleparallel Lagrangian is given. In section 3 the Hamiltonian formulation and the constraint algebra of the teleparallel gravity is built up. Its boundary term is just the self-dual part of Nester's boundary term. In section 4, using Witten's equation Nester's gauge condition is derived and a new expression of the boundary term is obtained. Using this expression and the Witten identity a proof of the positive energy theorem is shown under a deferent gauge condition from the one of Nester in section 5. Finally, section 6 is devoted to some conclusions.

II. SELF-DUAL AND ANTI-SELF-DUAL DECOMPOSITION OF THE LAGRANGIAN OF THE TELEPARALLEL GRAVITY

We start with a common relation between the tetrad e^I_μ , the spin connection $\omega_\mu^I{}_J$, and the affine connection $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^\rho{}_{\nu\mu}$ [1, 21]

$$\partial_\mu e^I_\nu + \omega_\mu^I{}_J e^J_\nu - \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} e^I_\rho = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $I, J, \dots = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are the internal indices and $\mu, \nu, \dots = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are the spacetime indices. Defining the Weitzenbok connection [1, 21]

$$\Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} = e^\rho{}_I \partial_\mu e^I_\nu, \quad (2)$$

then (1) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} &= \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} - \omega_\mu^I{}_J e^J_\nu e^\rho{}_I \\ &= \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} - \omega_\mu{}^\rho{}_\nu \\ &= \{\mu{}^\rho{}_\nu\} + \overset{\circ}{K}{}^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} - \omega_\mu{}^\rho{}_\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\omega_\mu{}^\rho{}_\nu = \omega_\mu^I{}_J e^\rho{}_I e^J_\nu, \quad (4)$$

and $\{\mu{}^\rho{}_\nu\}$, $\overset{\circ}{K}{}^\rho{}_{\mu\nu}$ is the Christoffel connection and the affine contortion, respectively. By introducing the Weitzenbok torsion

$$T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\mu}, \quad (5)$$

and the Weitzenbok contortion [1, 22]

$$K^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(T^\rho{}_{\nu\mu} + T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} + T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu}), \quad (6)$$

we can obtain from (3)

$$T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} = \overset{\circ}{T}{}^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} - \omega_\mu{}^\rho{}_\nu + \omega_\nu{}^\rho{}_\mu, \quad (7)$$

and

$$K^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} = \overset{\circ}{K}{}^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} + \omega_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\overset{\circ}{T}{}^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} = 2 \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^\rho{}_{[\mu\nu]} = \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} - \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^\rho{}_{\nu\mu}, \quad (9)$$

is the affine torsion and

$$\overset{\circ}{K}{}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\overset{\circ}{T}{}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} + \overset{\circ}{T}{}_{\mu}{}^{\rho}{}_{\nu} + \overset{\circ}{T}{}_{\nu}{}^{\rho}{}_{\mu}), \quad (10)$$

is the affine contortion [22].

In this paper we concentrate on the case of vanishing affine torsion

$$\overset{\circ}{T}{}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (11)$$

and then

$$\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} = \{\mu^{\rho}{}_{\nu}\}$$

as in the usually general relativity, we have

$$\overset{\circ}{K}{}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (12)$$

and then

$$K^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} = \omega_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho}. \quad (13)$$

As a result (3) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} &= \{\mu^{\rho}{}_{\nu}\} + K^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} \\ &= \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} + K^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and we are led to the theories given by Hayashi, Shirafuji [22], de Andrade, Guillen and Pereira [1] which are equivalent to general relativity and called theories of teleparallel gravity. In these theories the curvature of the Weitzenbok connection vanishes:

$$R^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu} + \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\tau\mu}\Gamma^{\tau}{}_{\sigma\nu} - \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\tau\nu}\Gamma^{\tau}{}_{\sigma\mu} \equiv 0, \quad (15)$$

while the curvature of the Christoffel connection

$$\overset{\circ}{R}{}^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\{\sigma^{\rho}{}_{\nu}\} - \partial_{\nu}\{\sigma^{\rho}{}_{\mu}\} + \{\tau^{\rho}{}_{\mu}\}\{\sigma^{\tau}{}_{\nu}\} - \{\tau^{\rho}{}_{\nu}\}\{\sigma^{\tau}{}_{\mu}\} \quad (16)$$

does not. For these theories one can say that the spacetime is a Weitzenbok spacetime with respect to the Cartan connection or a Riemann spacetime with respect to the Christoffel connection.

According to [1], the Lagrangian of the gravitational field can be chosen as

$$\mathcal{L}_G = -\frac{{}^{(4)}e}{2}S^{\rho\mu\nu}T_{\rho\mu\nu}, \quad (17)$$

where ${}^{(4)}e = \det(e^I{}_{\mu})$, and

$$S^{\rho\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(K^{\mu\nu\rho} - g^{\rho\nu}T^{\sigma\mu}{}_{\sigma} + g^{\rho\mu}T^{\sigma\nu}{}_{\sigma}). \quad (18)$$

From (7) and (11) we can obtain

$$T^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} = \omega_{\nu}{}^{\rho}{}_{\mu} - \omega_{\mu}{}^{\rho}{}_{\nu}, \quad (19)$$

and

$$S_{\mu}{}^{\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu}{}^{\rho}{}_{\nu} - \delta_{\mu}^{\rho}\omega_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma\nu} + \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}\omega_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma\rho}), \quad (20)$$

which lead to

$$S^{\rho\mu\nu}T_{\rho\mu\nu} = \omega_{\rho}{}^{\mu\nu}\omega_{\mu}{}^{\rho}{}_{\nu} - \omega_{\rho}{}^{\rho\nu}\omega_{\mu}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu}, \quad (21)$$

and

$$S_\mu^{\nu\rho}T^\mu_{\nu\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_\mu^{\rho\nu}(\omega_\lambda^\mu - \omega_\nu^\mu) - \frac{1}{2}\omega_\mu^{\mu\nu}(\omega_\lambda^\rho - \omega_\nu^\rho) - \frac{1}{2}\omega_\mu^{\mu\rho}\omega_\nu^\lambda. \quad (22)$$

Using (13), (6) and (5) we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\mu\nu\rho} &= K_{\rho\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(T_{\mu\rho\nu} + T_{\nu\rho\mu} + T_{\rho\mu\nu}) \\ &= (e_{I\rho}\partial_{[\mu}e^I_{\nu]} + e_{I\mu}\partial_{[\rho}e^I_{\nu]} + e_{I\nu}\partial_{[\rho}e^I_{\mu]}), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\omega^{\nu\mu\rho} = g^{\nu\tau}g^{\rho\sigma}e_I^\mu\partial_{[\sigma}e^I_{\tau]} + g^{\mu\lambda}g^{\rho\sigma}e_I^\nu\partial_{[\sigma}e^I_{\lambda]} + g^{\mu\lambda}g^{\nu\tau}e_I^\rho\partial_{[\lambda}e^I_{\tau]}, \quad (24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\rho\mu\nu}T_{\rho\mu\nu} &= \omega_{\mu\nu\rho}\omega^{\nu\mu\rho} - \omega_\mu^{\mu\rho}\omega_\nu^\nu{}_\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{4}T_{\rho\mu\nu}T^{\rho\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}T_{\rho\mu\nu}T^{\nu\mu\rho} + T_{\rho\mu}^\rho T^\nu{}_\nu{}^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Then the Lagrangian (17) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_G &= -\frac{(4)e}{8}(\eta_{IJ}g^{\mu\lambda}g^{\nu\tau} + 2^{(4)}e_I{}^\nu e_J{}^\nu g^{\mu\lambda} - 4^{(4)}e_I{}^{\mu(4)}e_J{}^\lambda g^{\nu\tau})T^I_{\mu\nu}T^J_{\lambda\tau} \\ &= -\frac{(4)e}{8}(T_{\mu\nu\lambda}T^{\mu\nu\lambda} + 2T_{\mu\nu\lambda}T^{\lambda\nu\mu} - 4T^\mu{}_{\mu\lambda}T^\nu{}_\nu{}^\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

which is equivalent to the Einstein Lagrangian.

In terms of two-spinors the connection $\omega_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = \omega_{AA'BB'}{}^{CC'}$ can be decomposed into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{AA'BB'}{}^{CC'} &= \omega_{AA'B}{}^C \epsilon^{C'}{}_{B'} + \bar{\omega}_{AA'B'}{}^{C'} \epsilon^C{}_B \\ &= \omega_{AA'BB'}^+{}^{CC'} + \omega_{AA'BB'}^-{}^{CC'}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where

$$\omega_{AA'BB'}^+{}^{CC'} = \omega_{AA'B}{}^C \epsilon^{C'}{}_{B'}, \quad (28)$$

and

$$\omega_{AA'BB'}^-{}^{CC'} = \bar{\omega}_{AA'B'}{}^{C'} \epsilon^C{}_B, \quad (29)$$

is the self-dual and the anti-self-dual part of the connection $\omega_{AA'BB'}{}^{CC'}$

($A, B, \dots = 0, 1; A', B', \dots = 0', 1'$), respectively. Using these results we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_{(c)\mu\nu\lambda}T_{(c)}^{\mu\nu\lambda} &= T_{AA'BB'CC'}T^{AA'BB'CC'} \\ &= 4\omega_{AA'BC}\omega^{AA'BC} + 4\bar{\omega}_{AA'B'C'}\bar{\omega}^{AA'B'C'} \\ &\quad - 2\omega_{AB'CB}\omega^{BB'AC} - 2\bar{\omega}_{BA'C'B'}\bar{\omega}^{BB'A'C'} \\ &\quad + 4\omega_{AA'}{}^{AB}\bar{\omega}_{BB'}{}^{B'A'}, \\ T_{(c)\mu\nu\lambda}T_{(c)}^{\lambda\nu\mu} &= -2\omega_{AA'BC}\omega^{AA'BC} - 2\bar{\omega}_{AA'B'C'}\bar{\omega}^{AA'B'C'} \\ &\quad + 3\omega_{AB'CB}\omega^{BB'AC} + 3\bar{\omega}_{BA'C'B'}\bar{\omega}^{BB'A'C'} \\ &\quad - 6\omega_{AA'}{}^{AB}\bar{\omega}_{BB'}{}^{B'A'}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$T_{(c)\mu\lambda}^\mu T_{(c)\nu}^\nu{}^\lambda = \omega_{AA'}{}^{AC}\omega^{AB'}{}_{AC} + \bar{\omega}_{AA'}{}^{A'C'}\bar{\omega}^{AB'}{}_{B'C'} - 2\omega_{AA'}{}^{AB}\bar{\omega}_{BB'}{}^{B'A'}.$$

The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_G$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_G &= -\frac{(4)\sigma}{4} (4\omega_{AB'C'B}\omega^{BB'AC} + 4\bar{\omega}_{BA'C'B'}\bar{\omega}^{BB'A'C'} \\
&\quad - 4\omega_{AA'}{}^{AC}\omega^{AB'}{}_{AC} - 4\bar{\omega}_{AA'}{}^{A'C'}\bar{\omega}^{AB'}{}_{B'C'}) \\
&= (4)\sigma (\omega_{AA'}{}^{AC}\omega^{BA'}{}_{BC} + \bar{\omega}_{AA'}{}^{A'C'}\bar{\omega}^{AB'}{}_{B'C'} \\
&\quad - \omega_{AB'C'B}\omega^{BB'AC} - \bar{\omega}_{BA'C'B'}\bar{\omega}^{BB'A'C'}),
\end{aligned}$$

and splits into two parts:

$$\mathcal{L}_G = \mathcal{L}_G^+ + \mathcal{L}_G^-,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_G^+ = (4)\sigma (\omega_{AA'}{}^{AC}\omega^{BA'}{}_{BC} - \omega_{AB'C'B}\omega^{BB'AC}),$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_G^- = (4)\sigma (\bar{\omega}_{AA'}{}^{A'C'}\bar{\omega}^{AB'}{}_{B'C} - \bar{\omega}_{BA'C'B'}\bar{\omega}^{BB'A'C'}),$$

is the self-dual part and the anti-self-dual part of $\mathcal{L}_G$ with the determinant $(4)\sigma$ of the inverse $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ soldering form $\sigma_\mu^{AA'}$ on the spacetime manifold M .

Since $\mathcal{L}_G$ consists of two invariant parts depending on $\omega_{AA'B}{}^C$ and $\bar{\omega}_{AA'B'}{}^{C'}$ respectively, we can choose the self-dual part $\mathcal{L}_G^+$ as the Lagrangian which is the equivalent of the Ashtekar Lagrangian [8].

III. THE HAMILTONIAN FORMULATION OF THE SELF-DUAL TELEPARALLEL GRAVITY

In order to obtain the Hamiltonian of the theory a foliation in the spacetime manifold M should be introduced. Assuming that $M = \Sigma \times R$ for some space-like Manifold Σ , we can choose a time function t with nowhere vanishing gradient $(dt)_\mu$ such that each $t = \text{const}$ surface Σ_t is diffeomorphic to Σ . Introduce a time flow vector t^μ satisfying $t^\mu(dt)_\mu = 1$, we can decompose it perpendicular and parallel to Σ_t : $t^\mu = Nn^\mu + N^\mu$, where n^μ is the time-like normal at each point of Σ_t and N , N^μ are the lapse function and the shift vector, respectively. The spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ introduces a spatial metric $q_{\mu\nu}$ on each Σ_t by the formula

$$q_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + n_\mu n_\nu. \quad (30)$$

In the two-spinor formalism the unit normal vector $n^\mu = n^{AA'}$ defines an isomorphism from the space of primed spinors to the space of unprimed spinors [24, 8]:

$$\xi^A = \sqrt{2}n^{AA'}\xi_{A'},$$

$$\omega_{ABCD} = \sqrt{2}n_A{}^{A'}\omega_{AA'CD}, \quad (31)$$

$$n^{AB} = \sqrt{2}n^{BA'}n^A{}_{A'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon^{AB}. \quad (32)$$

In this formalism (30) reads

$$g^{ABCD} = q^{ABCD} + n^{AB}n^{CD}, \quad (33)$$

or

$$\epsilon^{AC}\epsilon^{BD} = -\epsilon^{A(C}\epsilon^{D)B} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{AB}\epsilon^{CD}. \quad (34)$$

Using these results and decomposing ω_{ABCD} into its symmetry part $\omega_{(AB)CD}$ and skew-symmetry part $\omega_{[AB]CD}$:

$$\omega_{ABCD} = \omega_{(AB)CD} + \omega_{[AB]CD}, \quad (35)$$

the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_G^+$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_G^+ = {}^{(4)}\sigma [\omega_{(AB)}{}^{AC} \omega^{DB}{}_{DC} - \omega_{(AB)CD} \omega^{CBAD} - \sqrt{2} \omega_{\perp CD} \omega^{(CE)}{}_E{}^D],$$

where

$$\omega_{\perp CD} = n^{AB} \omega_{ABCD}. \quad (36)$$

From (1) one gets

$$\omega_{\mu\nu}^I = \omega_{\mu}^I{}_J e^J{}_{\nu} = -\partial_{\mu} e^I{}_{\nu} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} e^I{}_{\rho} := -\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{\mu} e^I{}_{\nu}, \quad (37)$$

where $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{\mu}$ is the affine covariant derivative which is just the Christoffel covariant derivative [1] since we have assumed the vanishing affine torsion. In the two-spinor formalism (37) reads

$$\omega_{CDAB} = -\zeta_{aA} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{CD} \zeta^a{}_B.$$

Using the relation

$$n^{AB} = \frac{1}{N} (t^{AB} - N^{AB}),$$

one gets

$$\omega_{\perp CD} = -\frac{1}{N} \zeta_C{}^b \dot{\zeta}_{bD} - \frac{1}{N} N^{AB} \omega_{ABCD}, \quad (38)$$

where

$$\dot{\zeta}_{bD} = t^{AB} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{AB} \zeta_{bD}. \quad (39)$$

The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_G^+$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_G^+ = & {}^{(4)}\sigma [\omega_{(AB)}{}^{AC} \omega^{(DB)}{}_{DC} - \omega_{(AB)CD} \omega^{(CB)AD}] \\ & - {}^{(4)}\sigma [\omega_{(AB)}{}^{AC} \zeta_D{}^a \overset{\circ}{\nabla}^{[DB]} \zeta_{aC} - \omega_{(AB)CD} \zeta^{Aa} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}^{[CB]} \zeta_a{}^D] \\ & + {}^{(4)}\sigma \frac{\sqrt{2}}{N} (\zeta_C{}^b \dot{\zeta}_{bD} + N^{AB} \omega_{ABCD}) \omega^{(CE)}{}_E{}^D. \end{aligned}$$

The second term can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_{(AB)}{}^{AC} \zeta_D{}^a \overset{\circ}{\nabla}^{[DB]} \zeta_{aC} - \omega_{(AB)CD} \zeta^{Aa} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}^{[CB]} \zeta_a{}^D \\ = & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{N} \omega_{(AB)}{}^{AC} (\zeta^{Ba} \dot{\zeta}_{aC} + N^{EF} \omega_{EF}{}^B{}_C) \end{aligned}$$

and then one obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_G^+ = & N \sigma [\omega_{(AB)}{}^{AC} \omega^{(DB)}{}_{DC} - \omega_{(AB)CD} \omega^{(CB)AD}] \\ & + 2\sqrt{2} \sigma (\zeta_C{}^b \dot{\zeta}_{bD} + N^{AB} \omega_{ABCD}) \omega^{(CE)}{}_E{}^D, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where

$$\sigma = \frac{{}^{(4)}\sigma}{N} = \det \sigma_{\mu}{}^{AB} = \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{-g}. \quad (41)$$

The canonical momentum conjugate to $\dot{\zeta}_{bD}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}^{bD} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_G^+}{\partial \dot{\zeta}_{bD}} \\ &= 2\sigma \sqrt{2} \zeta_C{}^b \omega^{(CE)}{}_E{}^D. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Here $\omega_{(AB)}{}^{CD}$ is just Ashtekar's variable which appears in the canonical momentum conjugate $\tilde{p}^{bD}$ to ζ_{bD} and is related to $\tilde{p}^{bD}$ by

$$\omega^{(AC)}{}_C{}^B = -\frac{1}{2\sigma\sqrt{2}}\zeta_a{}^A\tilde{p}^{aB}. \quad (43)$$

The gravitational Hamiltonian can be computed

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_G &= \tilde{p}^{bD} \dot{\zeta}_{bD} - \mathcal{L}_G^+ \\ &= \sigma[N\mathcal{H}_\perp + N^{AB}\mathcal{H}_{AB} + \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{(AB)} B^{AB}], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\perp &= \omega_{(AB)CD}\omega^{(AB)CD} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\omega_{(AB)}{}^{AC}\zeta_b{}^B\tilde{p}^b{}_C \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{(AB)}(\zeta_b{}^B\tilde{p}^{bA}) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\zeta_b{}^B\tilde{p}^{bA}\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{(AB)}\ln N \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{N}\omega^{(AB)}{}_{EC}\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{(AB)}N^{CE}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{AB} &= \sqrt{2}\sigma[-\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{(CD)}\omega^{(CD)}{}_{AB} + 2\omega^{(CD)}{}_{BE}\omega_{(CD)A}{}^E] \\ &\quad - \zeta_b{}^C\tilde{p}^{bD}\omega_{(AB)CD}, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

and

$$\tilde{B}^{(AB)} = -\frac{1}{2}(N\sigma\omega^{(CB)A}{}_C + N\sigma\omega^{(CA)B}{}_C) - \sqrt{2}\sigma N^{CD}\omega^{(AB)}{}_{DC}. \quad (46)$$

Here $\tilde{B}^{(AB)}$ is just the self-dual part of the boundary term $\tilde{B}^\mu$ given by Nester [5].

By following the Dirac constraint analysis we find that the theory has the same constraint structure. There are only two constraints, the scalar constraint

$$\mathcal{H}_\perp = 0,$$

and the vector constraint

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = 0.$$

The phase space $(\Gamma_{TG}, \Omega_{TG})$ of the teleparallel gravity is coordinatized by the pair $(\zeta_{bD}, \tilde{p}^{bD})$ and has symplectic structure

$$\Omega_{TG} = \int_\Sigma d\tilde{p}^{bD} \wedge d\zeta_{bD}. \quad (47)$$

By constructing the constraint functions by smearing $\mathcal{H}_\perp$ and $\mathcal{H}_i$ with test fields N and N^i on Σ following the approach of Ashtekar [8]

$$\begin{aligned} C(N) &= \int_\Sigma N\mathcal{H}_\perp, \\ C(\vec{N}) &= \int_\Sigma N^{AB}\mathcal{H}_{AB}, \end{aligned}$$

we find that in the case $\partial_i N^i = 0$, the constraint algebra is given by

$$\{C(N), C(M)\} = C(\mathcal{L}_{\vec{T}}M) - C(\mathcal{L}_{\vec{T}}M), \quad (48)$$

$$\{C(\vec{N}), C(M)\} = C(\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}}M), \quad (49)$$

and

$$\{C(\vec{N}), C(\vec{M})\} = C(\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}}\vec{M}) = C([\vec{N}, \vec{M}]). \quad (50)$$

These equations indicate that the constraint algebra is closed and the constraints $C(N)$ and $C(\vec{N})$ are first class, which is very similar to the case in general relativity. The first class constraints $C(N)$ and $C(\vec{N})$ generate the corresponding gauge transformations, the spacetime translations. We have shown that the constraint algebra of the teleparallel gravity has the same structure as that of general relativity.

IV. WITTEN-NESTER GAUGE CONDITIONS

Introducing the Lorentz covariant derivative of $e^I{}_\nu$ by

$$\nabla_\mu e^I{}_\nu = \partial_\mu e^I{}_\nu + \omega_\mu{}^I{}_J e^J{}_\nu,$$

then we have

$$\omega_\mu{}^\rho{}_\nu = e_I{}^\rho e^J{}_\nu \omega_\mu{}^I{}_J = e_I{}^\rho (\nabla_\mu e^I{}_\nu - \partial_\mu e^I{}_\nu).$$

Using the dyad

$$\zeta_{0A} = o_A, \zeta_{1A} = \iota_A, \zeta^{A0} = -\iota^A, \zeta^{A1} = o^A, \quad (51)$$

and supposing

$$o^A = \frac{1}{\chi} \lambda^A, \iota^A = \frac{1}{\chi} \lambda^{\dagger A}, \quad (52)$$

one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{CDAB} &= \zeta_{aA} (\nabla_{CD} \zeta^a{}_B - \partial_{CD} \zeta^a{}_B) \\ &= \frac{1}{\chi^2} (\lambda_A^\dagger \nabla_{CD} \lambda_B - \lambda_A \nabla_{CD} \lambda_B^\dagger \\ &\quad - \lambda_A^\dagger \partial_{CD} \lambda_B + \lambda_A \partial_{CD} \lambda_B^\dagger), \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega^{(CB)A}{}_C + \omega^{(CA)B}{}_C \\ &= \frac{1}{\chi^2} (\lambda^{\dagger A} \nabla^{(CB)} \lambda_C - \lambda^A \nabla^{(CB)} \lambda_C^\dagger + \lambda^{\dagger B} \nabla^{(CA)} \lambda_C - \lambda^B \nabla^{(CA)} \lambda_C^\dagger \\ &\quad - \lambda^{\dagger A} \partial^{(CB)} \lambda_C + \lambda^A \partial^{(CB)} \lambda_C^\dagger - \lambda^{\dagger B} \partial^{(CA)} \lambda_C + \lambda^B \partial^{(CA)} \lambda_C^\dagger). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose the spinors λ^A and its conjugate $\bar{\lambda}^{A'}$ are the solutions of the Witten equation

$$\nabla_{(AB)} \lambda^A = 0, \quad (54)$$

and

$$\nabla_{(A'B')} \bar{\lambda}^{A'} = 0. \quad (55)$$

The later leads to

$$\nabla_{(AB)} \lambda^{\dagger A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} K \lambda_B^\dagger. \quad (56)$$

Using (51) and (53) one can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \omega^{(CB)A}{}_C + \omega^{(CA)B}{}_C \\
&= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\chi^2} K(\lambda^B \lambda^{\dagger A} + \lambda^A \lambda^{\dagger B}) \\
& \quad -\frac{1}{\chi^2} (\lambda^{\dagger A} \partial^{(CB)} \lambda_C - \lambda^A \partial^{(CB)} \lambda_C^{\dagger} + \lambda^{\dagger B} \partial^{(CA)} \lambda_C - \lambda^B \partial^{(CA)} \lambda_C^{\dagger}).
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Introducing the triad on the spacelike hypersurface Σ :

$$\begin{aligned}
e_1^{AB} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(m^a - \bar{m}^a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\chi^2}(\lambda^A \lambda^B + \lambda^{\dagger A} \lambda^{\dagger B}), \\
e_2^{AB} &= \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}(m^a + \bar{m}^a) = \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}\chi^2}(\lambda^A \lambda^B - \lambda^{\dagger A} \lambda^{\dagger B}), \\
e_3^{AB} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(l^a - n^a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\chi^2}(\lambda^A \lambda^{\dagger B} + \lambda^{\dagger A} \lambda^B),
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

one can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^{(AB)} e_{1AB} &= -2\chi^{-1} \partial^{(AB)} \chi e_{1AB} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\chi^2} (\lambda_A \partial^{(AB)} \lambda_B + \lambda_A^{\dagger} \partial^{(AB)} \lambda_B^{\dagger}), \\
(\omega^{(CB)A}{}_C + \omega^{(CA)B}{}_C) e_{1AB} &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\chi^2} (\lambda_A \partial^{(AB)} \lambda_B + \lambda_A^{\dagger} \partial^{(AB)} \lambda_B^{\dagger}) \\
&= -2\chi^{-1} \partial^{(AB)} \chi e_{1AB} - \partial^{(AB)} e_{1AB} \\
&= -\partial_1 \ln \chi^2 - \partial^{(AB)} e_{1AB}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same way one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
(\omega^{(CB)A}{}_C + \omega^{(CA)B}{}_C) e_{2AB} &= -\partial_2 \ln \chi^2 - \partial^{(AB)} e_{2AB}, \\
(\omega^{(CB)A}{}_C + \omega^{(CA)B}{}_C) e_{3AB} &= -\partial_3 \ln \chi^2 - \partial^{(AB)} e_{3AB} + K.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
q_I &= \omega_{jI}^{+j} = (\omega^{(CB)A}{}_C + \omega^{(CA)B}{}_C) e_{IAB} \\
&= -\partial_I \ln \chi^2 - \partial^{(AB)} e_{IAB} + \delta_{I3} K, \\
(I &= 1, 2, 3).
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

This is just the Nester gauge condition with a correct term $\partial^{(AB)} e_{IAB}$.

V. WITTEN IDENTITY AND POSITIVITY OF GRAVITATIONAL ENERGY

Using (53) one can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
-N^j \omega^{+i}{}_{\perp j} &= -\sqrt{2} N^{CD} \omega^{(AB)}{}_{DC} \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\chi^2} N^{CD} [\lambda_C \nabla^{(AB)} \lambda_D^{\dagger} - \lambda_C^{\dagger} \nabla \partial^{(AB)} \lambda_D] \\
& \quad + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\chi^2} N^{CD} [\lambda_C \partial^{(AB)} \lambda_D^{\dagger} - \lambda_C^{\dagger} \partial^{(AB)} \lambda_D].
\end{aligned}$$

Supposing

$$N^{CD} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda^{(\dagger C} \lambda^{D)}, \tag{60}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} -N^j \omega^{\dagger i}{}_{\perp j} &= \sqrt{2} N^{CD} n_C{}^E \omega^{(AB)}{}_{DE} \\ &= \lambda^{\dagger C} \nabla^{(AB)} \lambda_C - \lambda^{\dagger C} \partial^{(AB)} \lambda_C. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose

$$N = \lambda_A \lambda^{\dagger A} = \chi^2, \quad (61)$$

the integral of the boundary term (46) reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \oint_S \tilde{B}^{(AB)} dS_{AB} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \oint_S \sigma (N \omega^{(CB)A}{}_C + N \omega^{(CA)B}{}_C) dS_{AB} \\ & \quad + 2 \oint_S \sigma N^{CD} n_C{}^E \omega^{(AB)}{}_{DE} dS_{AB} \\ &= \oint_S \sigma \chi^2 (\partial_I \ln \chi^2 + \partial^{(AB)} e_{IAB}) dS^I + \oint_S \sigma K dS^3 \\ & \quad \oint_S \sigma \{ \lambda^{\dagger C} \nabla^{(AB)} \lambda_C - \lambda^{\dagger C} \partial^{(AB)} \lambda_C \} dS_{AB}. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Using the Witten identity [19]

$$\begin{aligned} & \oint_S \sigma \lambda^{\dagger A} \nabla_i \lambda_A dS^i \\ &= 2 \int_{\Sigma} \sigma (\nabla^{(BC)} \lambda^A)^\dagger (\nabla_{(BC)} \lambda_A) dV + \\ & \quad 4\pi G \int_{\Sigma} \sigma \lambda^{\dagger A} (T_{00} \lambda_A + \sqrt{2} T_{0AB} \lambda^B) dV, \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

one find

$$\begin{aligned} & \oint_S \tilde{B}^{(AB)} dS_{AB} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \oint_S \sigma K (\lambda^B \lambda^{\dagger A} + \lambda^A \lambda^{\dagger B}) dS_{AB} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \oint_S \sigma (\lambda^{\dagger A} \partial^{(CB)} \lambda_C - \lambda^A \partial^{(CB)} \lambda_C^\dagger + \lambda^{\dagger B} \partial^{(CA)} \lambda_C \\ & \quad - \lambda^B \partial^{(CA)} \lambda_C^\dagger - \lambda^{\dagger C} \partial^{(AB)} \lambda_C) dS_{AB} \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{\Sigma} \sigma (\nabla^{(BC)} \lambda^A)^\dagger (\nabla_{(BC)} \lambda_A) dV \\ & \quad + 4\pi G \int_{\Sigma} \sigma \lambda^{\dagger A} (T_{00} \lambda_A + \sqrt{2} T_{0AB} \lambda^B) dV. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

which leads the positivity of the gravitational energy in the asymptotically flat boundary condition in space infinity. It is to be noted that we do not use Nester's gauge $N^{AB} = 0$. Instead we suppose the equation (60), which means that Nester's proof is extended to the case including momentum. The Nester gauge condition plays role only in the lapse part of the boundary term, while the Witten equation plays roles not only in the lapse part but also in the shift part of the boundary term in the proof of the positive energy theorem.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

A self-dual teleparallel gravity is developed. Its Lagrangian is equivalent the Ashtekar Lagrangian. The basic dynamic variables are the dyad spinors ζ_{aA} . The Ashtekar connection appears in the canonical momentum conjugate $\tilde{p}^{aA}$ to ζ_{aA} . In the Hamiltonian formulation of this theory the Nester gauge condition can be derived from the Witten equation directly and a new expression for the boundary term which is the self-dual part of the Nester boundary term is obtained. Using this expression the proof of the positive energy theorem by Nester et al can be extended to a case including momentum.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is completed when G. Y. C. visits Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and System Science, Chinese Academy of Science and supported by the National Science Foundation Grants of China No 10175032. and the Science Foundation Grants of the Education Department of Liaoning Province No. 20041011.

-
- [1] V. C. de Andrade, L. C. T. Guillen, and J. G. Pereira, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4533 (2000); V. C. de Andrade, and J. G. Pereira, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D 8**, 141(1999); Gen. Rel. Grav. **30**, 263 (1998); Phys. Rev. **D 56**, 4689 (1997); M. Calcada and J. G. Pereira, Phys. Rev. **D 66**, 044001 (2002).
 - [2] J. W. Maluf, and J. F. da Rocha-Neto, Gen. Rel. Grav. **31**, 173 (1999); J. W. Maluf, E. F. Matins, and A. Kneip, J. Math. Phys. **37**, 6302 (1996); J. W. Maluf, Gen. Rel. Grav. **28**, 1361 (1996); J. Math. Phys. **37**, 6293 (1996); J. Math. Phys. **36**, 4242 (1995); J. Math. Phys. **35**, 335 (1994);
 - [3] M. Blagojevic and I. A. Nikolic, Phys. Rev. **D 62**, 024021 (2000); M. Blagojevic and M. Vasilic, Phys. Rev. **D 64**, 044010 (2001); G. G. L. Nashed, Phys. Rev. **D 66**, 064015 (2002).
 - [4] U. Muench, F. Gronwald, and F. W. Hehl, Gen. Rel. Grav. **30**, 933(1998).
 - [5] C. C. Chang, J. M. Nester, and C. M. Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1897 (1999); R. S. Tung, and J. M. Nester, Phys. Rev. **D 60**, 021501 (1999); J. M. Nester, and H. J. Yo, Chin. J. Phys. **37**, 113 (1999); J. M. Nester, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A4**, 1755 (1989).
 - [6] Y. M. Cho, Phys. Rev. **D 14**, 2521 (1976).
 - [7] E. W. Mielke, Phys. Lett. **251A**, 349 (1999); Phys. Lett. **149A**, 345 (1992); Ann. Phys (N. Y.) **219**, 78 (1992); Phys. Rev. **D42**, 3388 (1990).
 - [8] A. Ashtekar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 2244 (1986); Phys. Rev. **D36**, 1587 (1987); A. Ashtekar, J. D. Romano, and R. S. Tate, Phys. Rev. **D 40**, 2572 (1989).
 - [9] D. M. Kerrick, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2074 (1995); F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4851 (1998).
 - [10] T. Appelquist, A. Chodos, and P. G. O. Freund, *Modern Kaluza-Klein Theories* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1987).
 - [11] V. C. de Andrade, L. C. T. Guillen, and J. G. Pereira, Phys. Rev. **D 61**, 084031 (2000); A. L. Barbosa, L. C. T. Guillen, and J. G. Pereira, Phys. Rev. **D 66**, 064028 (2002).
 - [12] C. Moller, Mat. Fys. Skr. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. **1**, No. 10 (1961); Ann. Phys. **12**, 118 (1961).
 - [13] R. Penrose Proc. R. Soc. London **A 381**, 53 (1982).
 - [14] J. D. Brown, and J. W. York, Phys. Rev. **D 47**, 1407 (1993) and references therein.
 - [15] R. S. Tung and T. Jacobson, Class. Quantum Grav. **12**, L51 (1995); R. S. Tung, Phys. Lett. **A264**, 341 (2000); C. M. Chen, J. M. Nester, and R. S. Tung, arXiv:gr-qc/0209100v2.
 - [16] R. S. Ward and R. O. Wells, *Twistor Geometry and Field Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1990); L. J. Mason and N. M. J. Woodhous, *Integrability, Self-duality, and Twistor Theory* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1996)
 - [17] J. A. Nieto, O. Obregon, and J. Socorro, Phys. Rev. **D 50**, R3583 (1994); J. A. Nieto, J. Socorro, and O. Obregon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3482 (1996).
 - [18] G. Chee, Phys. Rev. **D 54**, 6552 (1996); G. Y. Chee, Phys. Rev. **D 62**, 064013, 064014 (2000); Gen. Relat. Grav. **30**, 1735 (1998); X. Han and G. Y. Chee, Phys. Rev. **D 62**, 084006 (2000); G. Y. Chee and Y. H. Jia, Gen. Relat. Grav. **33**, 1953 (2001).
 - [19] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. **80**, 381 (1981)
 - [20] J. Frauendiener, Class. Quantum. Grav. **8**, 1881 (1991); V. Pelykh, J. Math. Phys. **41**, 5550 (2000).
 - [21] F. W. Hehl, J. D. McCrea, E. W. Mielke, and Y. Ne'emann, Phys. Rep. **258**, 27 (1995).
 - [22] K. Hayashi, and T. Shirafuji, Phys. Rev. **D19**, 3524 (1979).
 - [23] S. Kobayashi, and K. Nomizu, *Foundation of Differential Geometry* Vol. I (New York: Interscience Publishers, 1963); T. Frankel, *The geometry of Physics, An Introduction* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997).
 - [24] P. Sommers, J. Math. Phys. **21**, 2567 (1980).